

Contenders Are Forced to Their Best Speed in Opening Round of National Tennis Championship at Forest Hills

RANKING PLAYERS EXTENDED ARTISTS

R. N. Williams 2d has narrow Escape From Defeat in First Round.

DAVIS LOSES TWO SETS

Tilden Also Forced to His Best Speed by Lawrence B. Rice.

By SAMUEL J. BROOKMAN.

With a gallery of more than 3,000 looking on the thirty-ninth annual lawn tennis championship of America opened on the courts of the West Side Tennis Club at Forest Hills yesterday minus any startling upsets but with every indication that the leading contenders for the title will have no easy sailing in their advance toward the late rounds of the tournament. One of them, in fact, R. N. Williams 2d, national champion in 1914 and 1915, had a mighty narrow escape from defeat, while several others were forced to yield sets or were carried into defeat games by opponents whom they figured to dispose of easily. All of which will add considerable interest to a tournament which already looms up as one of the most attractive in years.

After five hours of continuous play, during which every turf court was pressed into service, seventy-three in all of 124 aspirants had been eliminated. In other words, all but one of the first round matches had been completed. There were so many interesting contests going on simultaneously that the spectators scarcely knew which way to turn or how long to remain at any court to see most of the contenders in action. On the grand stand area four matches were contested for the benefit of those who preferred to view the leading attractions from reserved seats, and every one of them proved to be worth going far to see.

Hayes Defeated by Cane.

In the first of these feature matches Walter T. Hayes of Chicago, who was seeded ninth on the ranking list a year ago, went down to defeat at the hands of G. Colket Cane, the Massachusetts State champion, three sets out of four, and to many the result came as an upset. It was, so far as ranking goes, the fact of the matter is that Hayes's tennis has been below par this year, whereas Cane has come to the front rapidly and has extended many of those who are rated near the top. Cane's victory was followed by a contest that furnished the real surprise of the day. It was played in the center court, with R. N. Williams on one side of the net and Francis T. Hunter on the other. Hunter has been one of the most successful of the metropolitan tournament players this year, but there was no reason to expect him to give the Davis cup team member and former national champion any serious trouble.

Williams, however, played the game with the uncertainty of stroke that has marked his tennis since his return from the international events in England and was handicapped to the limit by his place in the draw. Just how narrow his escape may be understood from the fact that, although he won the first, third and fourth sets, Hunter was even in the second set, and in the fourth he was twice within a point of the fourth. Had Hunter been able to come through with the necessary stroke in each of the sets, Williams would have been his by a comfortable margin and one of the most sensational upsets of the season would have gone on record.

Williams, however, was not so easily headed remarkably well in the pinches and found his best tennis when he needed it most. The excitement over Hunter's remarkable showing in Williams scarcely had subsided when it was aroused again by the failure of Willis E. Davis of California to win more than one of the three sets he was supposed to have won. Davis, a player who was supposed to have been his best days on the courts nearly a decade ago. The excessive speed of his strokes, which has made him a star of a great deal of his customary speed and his game was surprisingly lacking in aggressiveness. He was depending on his speed and his hard hitting, and he was found when it came to a matter of skill he could hold his own. In the last two sets Davis speeded up a bit, but he was not so much as the fact that he was trying fast that helped the Pacific coast star out of his early difficulty. The match was the third of the day on the grandstand courts. It ended in a score of 4-6, 6-0, 4-6, 6-1.

Tilden the Attraction.

The fourth of the leading attractions gave lawn tennis fans their first opportunity of seeing William T. Tilden, 2d, since his victory on the British championship. For his first round opponent Tilden had drawn Lawrence B. Rice of Newton Centre, Mass., an aggressive, hard hitting player, who was supposed to be a finalist in the Longwood singles tournament recently. Most of those in the gallery knew little of the record and ability of Tilden's opponent, and they were rather astonished to see him force the internationalist to travel at his best speed to prevent the loss of a set.

Tilden had every opportunity to make quick returns from difficult angles, but he was not so much as the fact that he was trying fast that helped the Pacific coast star out of his early difficulty. The match was the third of the day on the grandstand courts. It ended in a score of 4-6, 6-0, 4-6, 6-1.

On an outside court William M. Johnston of San Francisco, who is playing through in defense of his title, experienced no trouble against Carl Fletcher of Philadelphia in the first two sets, but in the third he was carried, along for eighteen games by the young University of Pennsylvania freshman, who at one time was within two strokes of forcing the match into extra sets. Johnston's control slumped in the third division of play just as it was about to be completed. Tilden finally had to resort to his skill in passing his opponent after maneuvering for position. The score of the match was 6-2, 6-4, 10-8.

It was no much odder as Williams had to face in his match with Hunter, but it showed how essential it will be for the best of the contenders to keep going at their best all through the tournament.

It was in the tenth set that Hunter came seven times within a point of taking the first set from Williams. The local man was setting a fast pace and his severe hitting was compelling the ranking player to hasten his strokes and giving him little opportunity to gauge his distances and angles. Hunter was following his service to the net and was blocking very effectively. Williams, however, was not so easily headed remarkably well in the pinches and found his best tennis when he needed it most.

Play Feature Match at Forest Hills

To-day's Feature Tennis Matches

At 1 P. M.—Richard Harte of Boston vs. Clarence G. Griffin of San Francisco, Vincent Richards of New York vs. Leonard Beekman of New York.

At 3 P. M.—R. Norris Williams 2d of Boston vs. S. Howard Voshell of New York.

At 4:30 P. M.—Watson M. Washburn of New York vs. Harold A. Throckmorton of New York.

Trains to Forest Hill from Pennsylvania station: 12 noon, 12:30, 1:15, 1:45, 2:15, 2:45, 3:15, 3:45, 4:15 and 4:45.

Hayes Defeated by Cane.

In the first of these feature matches Walter T. Hayes of Chicago, who was seeded ninth on the ranking list a year ago, went down to defeat at the hands of G. Colket Cane, the Massachusetts State champion, three sets out of four, and to many the result came as an upset. It was, so far as ranking goes, the fact of the matter is that Hayes's tennis has been below par this year, whereas Cane has come to the front rapidly and has extended many of those who are rated near the top. Cane's victory was followed by a contest that furnished the real surprise of the day. It was played in the center court, with R. N. Williams on one side of the net and Francis T. Hunter on the other. Hunter has been one of the most successful of the metropolitan tournament players this year, but there was no reason to expect him to give the Davis cup team member and former national champion any serious trouble.

Williams, however, played the game with the uncertainty of stroke that has marked his tennis since his return from the international events in England and was handicapped to the limit by his place in the draw. Just how narrow his escape may be understood from the fact that, although he won the first, third and fourth sets, Hunter was even in the second set, and in the fourth he was twice within a point of the fourth. Had Hunter been able to come through with the necessary stroke in each of the sets, Williams would have been his by a comfortable margin and one of the most sensational upsets of the season would have gone on record.

Hayes Defeated by Cane.

In the first of these feature matches Walter T. Hayes of Chicago, who was seeded ninth on the ranking list a year ago, went down to defeat at the hands of G. Colket Cane, the Massachusetts State champion, three sets out of four, and to many the result came as an upset. It was, so far as ranking goes, the fact of the matter is that Hayes's tennis has been below par this year, whereas Cane has come to the front rapidly and has extended many of those who are rated near the top. Cane's victory was followed by a contest that furnished the real surprise of the day. It was played in the center court, with R. N. Williams on one side of the net and Francis T. Hunter on the other. Hunter has been one of the most successful of the metropolitan tournament players this year, but there was no reason to expect him to give the Davis cup team member and former national champion any serious trouble.

Williams, however, played the game with the uncertainty of stroke that has marked his tennis since his return from the international events in England and was handicapped to the limit by his place in the draw. Just how narrow his escape may be understood from the fact that, although he won the first, third and fourth sets, Hunter was even in the second set, and in the fourth he was twice within a point of the fourth. Had Hunter been able to come through with the necessary stroke in each of the sets, Williams would have been his by a comfortable margin and one of the most sensational upsets of the season would have gone on record.

Williams, however, was not so easily headed remarkably well in the pinches and found his best tennis when he needed it most. The excitement over Hunter's remarkable showing in Williams scarcely had subsided when it was aroused again by the failure of Willis E. Davis of California to win more than one of the three sets he was supposed to have won. Davis, a player who was supposed to have been his best days on the courts nearly a decade ago. The excessive speed of his strokes, which has made him a star of a great deal of his customary speed and his game was surprisingly lacking in aggressiveness. He was depending on his speed and his hard hitting, and he was found when it came to a matter of skill he could hold his own. In the last two sets Davis speeded up a bit, but he was not so much as the fact that he was trying fast that helped the Pacific coast star out of his early difficulty. The match was the third of the day on the grandstand courts. It ended in a score of 4-6, 6-0, 4-6, 6-1.

Tilden the Attraction.

The fourth of the leading attractions gave lawn tennis fans their first opportunity of seeing William T. Tilden, 2d, since his victory on the British championship. For his first round opponent Tilden had drawn Lawrence B. Rice of Newton Centre, Mass., an aggressive, hard hitting player, who was supposed to be a finalist in the Longwood singles tournament recently. Most of those in the gallery knew little of the record and ability of Tilden's opponent, and they were rather astonished to see him force the internationalist to travel at his best speed to prevent the loss of a set.

Tilden had every opportunity to make quick returns from difficult angles, but he was not so much as the fact that he was trying fast that helped the Pacific coast star out of his early difficulty. The match was the third of the day on the grandstand courts. It ended in a score of 4-6, 6-0, 4-6, 6-1.

On an outside court William M. Johnston of San Francisco, who is playing through in defense of his title, experienced no trouble against Carl Fletcher of Philadelphia in the first two sets, but in the third he was carried, along for eighteen games by the young University of Pennsylvania freshman, who at one time was within two strokes of forcing the match into extra sets. Johnston's control slumped in the third division of play just as it was about to be completed. Tilden finally had to resort to his skill in passing his opponent after maneuvering for position. The score of the match was 6-2, 6-4, 10-8.

It was no much odder as Williams had to face in his match with Hunter, but it showed how essential it will be for the best of the contenders to keep going at their best all through the tournament.

It was in the tenth set that Hunter came seven times within a point of taking the first set from Williams. The local man was setting a fast pace and his severe hitting was compelling the ranking player to hasten his strokes and giving him little opportunity to gauge his distances and angles. Hunter was following his service to the net and was blocking very effectively. Williams, however, was not so easily headed remarkably well in the pinches and found his best tennis when he needed it most.

OLYMPIC PRIZES FOR AMERICANS

King Albert Presents Trophies in Belgian Stadium.

Staff Correspondent of THE SUN AND NEW YORK HERALD. Copyright, 1920, by THE SUN AND NEW YORK HERALD.

ANTWERP, Belgium, Aug. 30.—The grand farewell of the athletes took place in the stadium here to-day and was embodied in the presentation of the prizes by King Albert of Belgium and the Crown Prince. These prizes consisted of first, second, third, along with twenty special challenge trophies for the various competitions. That the United States came in for its share was plainly evident, for it would take a railroad truck to cart off all the trophies won by the representatives of Uncle Sam.

One of the most artistic of the challenge trophies fell to the lot of the navy crew for its victory in the eight-oared rowing event. It is a statue of Pallas the Athenian, and was donated by Count G. Brunetta d'Usses, and will be held by the Annapolis crew until the 1924 Olympic games, no matter where they may be held. The same donor presented the cup for the 1,500 meter swim, won by Norman Ross of the Illinois A. C. Miss Ellen Higgins, the fourteen-year-old girl, who won the high diving contest for women, was presented with the challenge vase donated by the Countess of Cans-Miranda.

There was only a scattering representation of the nation on the field at the presentation ceremonies. The few score Americans who were present made up in noise what they lacked in numbers. They gave King Albert and the Crown Prince Leopold gave out the awards to the seconds and the young Prince Charles gave the medals to the thirds.

American water polo team was defeated by the Swedish team. The score was Sweden 6 goals, United States 0.

Sprinter Kirksey Arrested.

M. M. Kirksey of San Francisco, was assaulted, handcuffed and taken to the police station this afternoon by Belgian gendarmes, who, unable to speak English, blocked Kirksey from entering the American dressing rooms at the stadium. Kirksey had just returned with Charles W. Padlock of Los Angeles, another American, who was also being escorted to the dressing rooms. He had tickets, but when he tried to enter the dressing rooms he was attacked by the gendarmes, who apparently had orders, which were unknown to him, to prevent any American from entering the dressing rooms. Only the fact that the rooms were to be used today only by football players.

Kirksey later was released at the station house. He was charged with assault and was taken to the police station.

NAVAL ACADEMY

PROUD OF EIGHT

Olympic Victory Supreme

Athletic Achievement.

Special to THE SUN AND NEW YORK HERALD. ANNAPOIS, Md., Aug. 30.—The victory of the Naval Academy eight in the finals of the Olympic races at Antwerp is regarded here as the supreme athletic achievement in the history of athletics at Antwerp. The members of the naval contingent here are jubilant, and the oarsmen, with Coach Glendon and others with them, will be given a great reception by the city.

Prospects are bright for a continuance of aquatic honors. Coach Dick Glendon has two more years at the academy, and the members of the team are likely to stay for many more. Only two regulars, Capt. Graves, No. 2, and Jacobson, bow, will be lost by graduation. Edward H. Moore of Ringwood, Va., No. 1, is the only one who will be lost by graduation. The team will be replaced by a new team, and the members will be given a great reception by the city.

Members of the rowing party are expected to reach this country about September 10, and the midshipmen will be given a great reception by the city.

WOMEN TENNIS STARS PLAY

Strong Field Starts in Tournament

on Cedarhurst Courts.

Many of the women tennis players who within a fortnight will participate in the national tennis championship at Philadelphia made their appearance on the courts of the Cedarhurst Hunting Club at Cedarhurst yesterday in the club's annual invitation tournament.

Among them were Mrs. Franklin I. Mallory, formerly Miss Molla Bjurstedt, who held the national title for a period of five years up to 1915; Miss Corinne Gould of St. Louis, former national clay court champion; Miss Helen Baker and Miss Eleanor Tennant of California; Miss Leola Bagnall of New York; Miss Sigourney of Boston and Miss Margaret Davis and Miss Marjorie Hiles of Kansas City, as well as many other prominent players of the metropolitan district.

All of the well-known players through their first round matches successfully, with the exception of Miss Hiles, who was beaten straight sets by Miss Clara Caswell, 6-4, 6-3. Miss Eleanor Gould, the city's second national, took her first round match by default. By her defeat of Miss Margaret Grove, 6-3, 6-3, Mrs. Mallory proved she will again have to be considered as a serious contender for the American championship.

The summary:

Rockaway Hunting Club Women's Invitation Singles—First Round—Miss Helen Baker defeated Mrs. B. B. Baker, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Leola Bagnall defeated Mrs. E. B. Bagnall, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Sigourney defeated Mrs. S. Sigourney, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Margaret Davis defeated Mrs. M. Davis, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Marjorie Hiles defeated Mrs. J. Hiles, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Clara Caswell defeated Mrs. C. Caswell, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Eleanor Gould defeated Mrs. E. Gould, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Corinne Gould defeated Mrs. C. Gould, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Helen Baker defeated Mrs. H. Baker, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Eleanor Tennant defeated Mrs. E. Tennant, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Leola Bagnall defeated Mrs. L. Bagnall, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Sigourney defeated Mrs. S. Sigourney, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Margaret Davis defeated Mrs. M. Davis, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Marjorie Hiles defeated Mrs. J. Hiles, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Clara Caswell defeated Mrs. C. Caswell, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Eleanor Gould defeated Mrs. E. Gould, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Corinne Gould defeated Mrs. C. Gould, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Helen Baker defeated Mrs. H. Baker, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Eleanor Tennant defeated Mrs. E. Tennant, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Leola Bagnall defeated Mrs. L. Bagnall, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Sigourney defeated Mrs. S. Sigourney, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Margaret Davis defeated Mrs. M. Davis, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Marjorie Hiles defeated Mrs. J. Hiles, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Clara Caswell defeated Mrs. C. Caswell, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Eleanor Gould defeated Mrs. E. Gould, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Corinne Gould defeated Mrs. C. Gould, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Helen Baker defeated Mrs. H. Baker, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Eleanor Tennant defeated Mrs. E. Tennant, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Leola Bagnall defeated Mrs. L. Bagnall, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Sigourney defeated Mrs. S. Sigourney, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Margaret Davis defeated Mrs. M. Davis, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Marjorie Hiles defeated Mrs. J. Hiles, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Clara Caswell defeated Mrs. C. Caswell, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Eleanor Gould defeated Mrs. E. Gould, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Corinne Gould defeated Mrs. C. Gould, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Helen Baker defeated Mrs. H. Baker, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Eleanor Tennant defeated Mrs. E. Tennant, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Leola Bagnall defeated Mrs. L. Bagnall, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Sigourney defeated Mrs. S. Sigourney, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Margaret Davis defeated Mrs. M. Davis, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Marjorie Hiles defeated Mrs. J. Hiles, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Clara Caswell defeated Mrs. C. Caswell, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Eleanor Gould defeated Mrs. E. Gould, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Corinne Gould defeated Mrs. C. Gould, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Helen Baker defeated Mrs. H. Baker, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Eleanor Tennant defeated Mrs. E. Tennant, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Leola Bagnall defeated Mrs. L. Bagnall, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Sigourney defeated Mrs. S. Sigourney, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Margaret Davis defeated Mrs. M. Davis, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Marjorie Hiles defeated Mrs. J. Hiles, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Clara Caswell defeated Mrs. C. Caswell, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Eleanor Gould defeated Mrs. E. Gould, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Corinne Gould defeated Mrs. C. Gould, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Helen Baker defeated Mrs. H. Baker, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Eleanor Tennant defeated Mrs. E. Tennant, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Leola Bagnall defeated Mrs. L. Bagnall, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Sigourney defeated Mrs. S. Sigourney, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Margaret Davis defeated Mrs. M. Davis, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Marjorie Hiles defeated Mrs. J. Hiles, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Clara Caswell defeated Mrs. C. Caswell, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Eleanor Gould defeated Mrs. E. Gould, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Corinne Gould defeated Mrs. C. Gould, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Helen Baker defeated Mrs. H. Baker, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Eleanor Tennant defeated Mrs. E. Tennant, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Leola Bagnall defeated Mrs. L. Bagnall, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Sigourney defeated Mrs. S. Sigourney, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Margaret Davis defeated Mrs. M. Davis, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Marjorie Hiles defeated Mrs. J. Hiles, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Clara Caswell defeated Mrs. C. Caswell, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Eleanor Gould defeated Mrs. E. Gould, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Corinne Gould defeated Mrs. C. Gould, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Helen Baker defeated Mrs. H. Baker, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Eleanor Tennant defeated Mrs. E. Tennant, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Leola Bagnall defeated Mrs. L. Bagnall, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Sigourney defeated Mrs. S. Sigourney, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Margaret Davis defeated Mrs. M. Davis, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Marjorie Hiles defeated Mrs. J. Hiles, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Clara Caswell defeated Mrs. C. Caswell, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Eleanor Gould defeated Mrs. E. Gould, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Corinne Gould defeated Mrs. C. Gould, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Helen Baker defeated Mrs. H. Baker, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Eleanor Tennant defeated Mrs. E. Tennant, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Leola Bagnall defeated Mrs. L. Bagnall, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Sigourney defeated Mrs. S. Sigourney, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Margaret Davis defeated Mrs. M. Davis, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Marjorie Hiles defeated Mrs. J. Hiles, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Clara Caswell defeated Mrs. C. Caswell, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Eleanor Gould defeated Mrs. E. Gould, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Corinne Gould defeated Mrs. C. Gould, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Helen Baker defeated Mrs. H. Baker, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Eleanor Tennant defeated Mrs. E. Tennant, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Leola Bagnall defeated Mrs. L. Bagnall, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Sigourney defeated Mrs. S. Sigourney, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Margaret Davis defeated Mrs. M. Davis, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Marjorie Hiles defeated Mrs. J. Hiles, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Clara Caswell defeated Mrs. C. Caswell, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Eleanor Gould defeated Mrs. E. Gould, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Corinne Gould defeated Mrs. C. Gould, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Helen Baker defeated Mrs. H. Baker, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Eleanor Tennant defeated Mrs. E. Tennant, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Leola Bagnall defeated Mrs. L. Bagnall, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Sigourney defeated Mrs. S. Sigourney, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Margaret Davis defeated Mrs. M. Davis, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Marjorie Hiles defeated Mrs. J. Hiles, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Clara Caswell defeated Mrs. C. Caswell, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Eleanor Gould defeated Mrs. E. Gould, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Corinne Gould defeated Mrs. C. Gould, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Helen Baker defeated Mrs. H. Baker, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Eleanor Tennant defeated Mrs. E. Tennant, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Leola Bagnall defeated Mrs. L. Bagnall, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Sigourney defeated Mrs. S. Sigourney, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Margaret Davis defeated Mrs. M. Davis, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Marjorie Hiles defeated Mrs. J. Hiles, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Clara Caswell defeated Mrs. C. Caswell, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Eleanor Gould defeated Mrs. E. Gould, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Corinne Gould defeated Mrs. C. Gould, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Helen Baker defeated Mrs. H. Baker, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Eleanor Tennant defeated Mrs. E. Tennant, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Leola Bagnall defeated Mrs. L. Bagnall, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Sigourney defeated Mrs. S. Sigourney, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Margaret Davis defeated Mrs. M. Davis, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Marjorie Hiles defeated Mrs. J. Hiles, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Clara Caswell defeated Mrs. C. Caswell, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Eleanor Gould defeated Mrs. E. Gould, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Corinne Gould defeated Mrs. C. Gould, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Helen Baker defeated Mrs. H. Baker, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Eleanor Tennant defeated Mrs. E. Tennant, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Leola Bagnall defeated Mrs. L. Bagnall, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Sigourney defeated Mrs. S. Sigourney, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Margaret Davis defeated Mrs. M. Davis, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Marjorie Hiles defeated Mrs. J. Hiles, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Clara Caswell defeated Mrs. C. Caswell, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Eleanor Gould defeated Mrs. E. Gould, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Corinne Gould defeated Mrs. C. Gould, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Helen Baker defeated Mrs. H. Baker, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Eleanor Tennant defeated Mrs. E. Tennant, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Leola Bagnall defeated Mrs. L. Bagnall, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Sigourney defeated Mrs. S. Sigourney, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Margaret Davis defeated Mrs. M. Davis, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Marjorie Hiles defeated Mrs. J. Hiles, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Clara Caswell defeated Mrs. C. Caswell, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Eleanor Gould defeated Mrs. E. Gould, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Corinne Gould defeated Mrs. C. Gould, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Helen Baker defeated Mrs. H. Baker, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Eleanor Tennant defeated Mrs. E. Tennant, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Leola Bagnall defeated Mrs. L. Bagnall, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Sigourney defeated Mrs. S. Sigourney, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Margaret Davis defeated Mrs. M. Davis, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Marjorie Hiles defeated Mrs. J. Hiles, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Clara Caswell defeated Mrs. C. Caswell, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Eleanor Gould defeated Mrs. E. Gould, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Corinne Gould defeated Mrs. C. Gould, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Helen Baker defeated Mrs. H. Baker, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Eleanor Tennant defeated Mrs. E. Tennant, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Leola Bagnall defeated Mrs. L. Bagnall, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Sigourney defeated Mrs. S. Sigourney, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Margaret Davis defeated Mrs. M. Davis, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Marjorie Hiles defeated Mrs. J. Hiles, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Clara Caswell defeated Mrs. C. Caswell, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Eleanor Gould defeated Mrs. E. Gould, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Corinne Gould defeated Mrs. C. Gould, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Helen Baker defeated Mrs. H. Baker, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Eleanor Tennant defeated Mrs. E. Tennant, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Leola Bagnall defeated Mrs. L. Bagnall, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Sigourney defeated Mrs. S. Sigourney, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Margaret Davis defeated Mrs. M. Davis, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Marjorie Hiles defeated Mrs. J. Hiles, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Clara Caswell defeated Mrs. C. Caswell, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Eleanor Gould defeated Mrs. E. Gould, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Corinne Gould defeated Mrs. C. Gould, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Helen Baker defeated Mrs. H. Baker, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Eleanor Tennant defeated Mrs. E. Tennant, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Leola Bagnall defeated Mrs. L. Bagnall, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Sigourney defeated Mrs. S. Sigourney, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Margaret Davis defeated Mrs. M. Davis, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Marjorie Hiles defeated Mrs. J. Hiles, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Clara Caswell defeated Mrs. C. Caswell, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Eleanor Gould defeated Mrs. E. Gould, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Corinne Gould defeated Mrs. C. Gould, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Helen Baker defeated Mrs. H. Baker, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Eleanor Tennant defeated Mrs. E. Tennant, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Leola Bagnall defeated Mrs. L. Bagnall, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Sigourney defeated Mrs. S. Sigourney, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Margaret Davis defeated Mrs. M. Davis, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Marjorie Hiles defeated Mrs. J. Hiles, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Clara Caswell defeated Mrs. C. Caswell, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Eleanor Gould defeated Mrs. E. Gould, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Corinne Gould defeated Mrs. C. Gould, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Helen Baker defeated Mrs. H. Baker, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Eleanor Tennant defeated Mrs. E. Tennant, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Leola Bagnall defeated Mrs. L. Bagnall, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Sigourney defeated Mrs. S. Sigourney, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Margaret Davis defeated Mrs. M. Davis, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Marjorie Hiles defeated Mrs. J. Hiles, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Clara Caswell defeated Mrs. C. Caswell, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Eleanor Gould defeated Mrs. E. Gould, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Corinne Gould defeated Mrs. C. Gould, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Helen Baker defeated Mrs. H. Baker, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Eleanor Tennant defeated Mrs. E. Tennant, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Leola Bagnall defeated Mrs. L. Bagnall, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Sigourney defeated Mrs. S. Sigourney, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Margaret Davis defeated Mrs. M. Davis, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Marjorie Hiles defeated Mrs. J. Hiles, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Clara Caswell defeated Mrs. C. Caswell, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Eleanor Gould defeated Mrs. E. Gould, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Corinne Gould defeated Mrs. C. Gould, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Helen Baker defeated Mrs. H. Baker, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Eleanor Tennant defeated Mrs. E. Tennant, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Leola Bagnall defeated Mrs. L. Bagnall, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Sigourney defeated Mrs. S. Sigourney, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Margaret Davis defeated Mrs. M. Davis, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Marjorie Hiles defeated Mrs. J. Hiles, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Clara Caswell defeated Mrs. C. Caswell, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Eleanor Gould defeated Mrs. E. Gould, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Corinne Gould defeated Mrs. C. Gould, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Helen Baker defeated Mrs. H. Baker, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Eleanor Tennant defeated Mrs. E. Tennant, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Leola Bagnall defeated Mrs. L. Bagnall, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Sigourney defeated Mrs. S. Sigourney, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Margaret Davis defeated Mrs. M. Davis, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Marjorie Hiles defeated Mrs. J. Hiles, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Clara Caswell defeated Mrs. C. Caswell, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Eleanor Gould defeated Mrs. E. Gould, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Corinne Gould defeated Mrs. C. Gould, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Helen Baker defeated Mrs. H. Baker, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Eleanor Tennant defeated Mrs. E. Tennant, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Leola Bagnall defeated Mrs. L. Bagnall, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Sigourney defeated Mrs. S. Sigourney, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Margaret Davis defeated Mrs. M. Davis, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Marjorie Hiles defeated Mrs. J. Hiles, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Clara Caswell defeated Mrs. C. Caswell, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Eleanor Gould defeated Mrs. E. Gould, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Corinne Gould defeated Mrs. C. Gould, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Helen Baker defeated Mrs. H. Baker, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Eleanor Tennant defeated Mrs. E. Tennant, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Leola Bagnall defeated Mrs. L. Bagnall, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Sigourney defeated Mrs. S. Sigourney, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Margaret Davis defeated Mrs. M. Davis, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Marjorie Hiles defeated Mrs. J. Hiles, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Clara Caswell defeated Mrs. C. Caswell, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Eleanor Gould defeated Mrs. E. Gould, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Corinne Gould defeated Mrs. C. Gould, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Helen Baker defeated Mrs. H. Baker, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Eleanor Tennant defeated Mrs. E. Tennant, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Leola Bagnall defeated Mrs. L. Bagnall, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Sigourney defeated Mrs. S. Sigourney, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Margaret Davis defeated Mrs. M. Davis, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Marjorie Hiles defeated Mrs. J. Hiles, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Clara Caswell defeated Mrs. C. Caswell, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Eleanor Gould defeated Mrs. E. Gould, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Corinne Gould defeated Mrs. C. Gould, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Helen Baker defeated Mrs. H. Baker, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Eleanor Tennant defeated Mrs. E. Tennant, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Leola Bagnall defeated Mrs. L. Bagnall, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Sigourney defeated Mrs. S. Sigourney, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Margaret Davis defeated Mrs. M. Davis, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Marjorie Hiles defeated Mrs. J. Hiles, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Clara Caswell defeated Mrs. C. Caswell, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Eleanor Gould defeated Mrs. E. Gould, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Corinne Gould defeated Mrs. C. Gould, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Helen Baker defeated Mrs. H. Baker, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Eleanor Tennant defeated Mrs. E. Tennant, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Leola Bagnall defeated Mrs. L. Bagnall, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Sigourney defeated Mrs. S. Sigourney, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Margaret Davis defeated Mrs. M. Davis, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Marjorie Hiles defeated Mrs. J. Hiles, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Clara Caswell defeated Mrs. C. Caswell, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Eleanor Gould defeated Mrs. E. Gould, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Corinne Gould defeated Mrs. C. Gould, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Helen Baker defeated Mrs. H. Baker, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Eleanor Tennant defeated Mrs. E. Tennant, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Leola Bagnall defeated Mrs. L. Bagnall, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Sigourney defeated Mrs. S. Sigourney, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Margaret Davis defeated Mrs. M. Davis, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Marjorie Hiles defeated Mrs. J. Hiles, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Clara Caswell defeated Mrs. C. Caswell, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Eleanor Gould defeated Mrs. E. Gould, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Corinne Gould defeated Mrs. C. Gould, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Helen Baker defeated Mrs. H. Baker, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Eleanor Tennant defeated Mrs. E. Tennant